

BEFORE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No.: 37-03-11-1

IN THE MATTER OF THE
PETITION FOR DELIVERY CALL
OF A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT
FOR THE DELIVERY OF GROUND
WATER AND FOR THE CREATION
OF A GROUND WATER
MANAGEMENT AREA

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
CHRISTIAN R. PETRICH, Ph.D. P.E., P.G.**

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF:

**THE IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS INC.
NORTH SNAKE GROUND WATER DISTRICT
MAGIC VALLEY GROUND WATER DISTRICT**

JULY 16, 2008

LIST OF SPONSORED EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description	Page
400	Expert Report of Christian R. Petrich, Ph.D., P.E., P.G.	5
401	A&B Irrigation District, Unit A and Unit B irrigation areas	Within Exhibit 400
402	Number of A&B 1 st drills, 2 nd drills, 3 rd drills, 4 th drills, 1948-2007	Within Exhibit 400
403	Cumulative drilling and construction of A&B irrigation wells.	Within Exhibit 400
404	Drilling and construction of A&B irrigation wells.	Within Exhibit 400
405	Ground-water rights held by A&B	Within Exhibit 400
406	Acreage under water rights 36-2080, 36-15127A, 36-15192, 36-15193A, 36-15194A, 36-15195A, 36-15196A, 36-15193B, 36-15194B, 36-15195B, 36-15196B, and 36-15127B	Within Exhibit 400
407	Authorized diversion volumes under water rights 36-2080, 36-15127A, 36-15192, 36-15193A, 36-15194A, 36-15195A, 36-15196A, 36-15193B, 36-15194B, 36-15195B, 36-15196B, and 36-15127B	Within Exhibit 400
408	Average annual ground-water withdrawals	Within Exhibit 400
409	Total A&B Withdrawals	Within Exhibit 400
410	A&B annual withdrawals per current water-right acres and per the original 62,604 acres listed on water right 36-2080	Within Exhibit 400
411	Unit B lands and place of use for private irrigation water rights	Within Exhibit 400
412	“Water short” well systems (item-g-lands) and place of use for private irrigation water rights within the A&B service area	Within Exhibit 400
413	“Water short” wells systems	Within Exhibit 400
414	“Water short” well systems (as measured near the wellhead) for selected years	Within Exhibit 400
415	Distribution of “water short” well systems based on deliver at the turnout, 2007	Within Exhibit 400
416	Distribution of “water short” well systems based on delivery at the wellhead, 2007	Within Exhibit 400
417	Acreage irrigated by gravity and sprinkler	Within Exhibit 400
418	Distribution of water-level declines having occurred between 1959 and 2006.	Within Exhibit 400

419	Distribution of water-level declines having occurred between 1959 and 2006 and “water short” (Item-g) lands	Within Exhibit 400
420	Distribution of water-level declines having occurred between 1959 and 2006 and “water short” wells and “water short” (Item-g) lands	Within Exhibit 400
421	Reported well rectification costs	Within Exhibit 400
422	Contract between U.S. BOR and A&B	Appendix A to Exhibit 400
423	Transfer 72566	Appendix B to Exhibit 400
424	“Comingle.pdf”	Appendix C to Exhibit 400
425	Email correspondence from Matthew Anders, IDWR	Appendix D to Exhibit 400
426	Christian Petrich Resume	Appendix E to Exhibit 400

1 **Q HAVE YOU EVER BEEN TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT WITNESS**
2 **BEFORE?**

3
4 A Yes. I have given expert testimony in administrative hearings before the Idaho
5 Department of Water Resources in the matters dealing with water right
6 applications 63-32089 and 63-32090 (City of Eagle), 63-32061 (SunCor Idaho,
7 LLC). I have also provided expert testimony in numerous Planning and Zoning or
8 County Commission hearings in Ada, Canyon, Gem, Owyhee, and Boise Counties
9 and the City of Boise.

10 **Q WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS**
11 **PROCEEDING?**

12
13 A The purpose of my testimony is:

- 14 1. To introduce **Exhibit 400** which constitutes my expert report and opinion
15 in the matter of the Petition of the Delivery Call of A & B Irrigation District for
16 the Delivery of Ground Water and for the Creation of a Ground Water
17 Management Area;
- 18 2. To provide factual evidence pertinent to the administrative and judicial
19 resolution of the water delivery calls made by A & B Irrigation District (“A&B”);
- 20 3. To discuss A & B Irrigation District’s water rights and diversion under
21 water rights No. 36-2080;
- 22 4. To generally describe ground water levels and trends in the vicinity of
23 A&B;
- 24 5. To describe the general history of A&B’s well deepening and
25 replacements;

1 6. To discuss generally, the application of the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer
2 Ground Water Model and the A&B Scenario that resulted from that model; and

3 7. To provide technical recommendations to the Hearing Officer regarding
4 future administration and management of the ESPA pertinent to this matter.

5 **Q CAN YOU GENERALLY DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION YOU**
6 **REVIEWED AND RELIED UPON IN PREPARING YOUR TESTIMONY?**

7
8 **A** Yes. I reviewed most of the pleadings filed in this matter by the parties and the
9 Director's 2008 Order. Also as set forth in the references to Exhibit 401, I have
10 reviewed the Idaho Department of Water Resource's water right records and files
11 relating to A & B, the agency record as provided by IDWR, documents and
12 information provided by A&B, information from the Eastern Snake Plain
13 Hydrologic Modeling Committee, numerous academic and agency reports and
14 studies regarding the ESPA, the history of development on A & B specifically,
15 and the water resources of the basin.

16 **Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS:**

17 **A** Based on my analysis I have reached the following conclusions:

18 1. A & B has been able to meet the intended beneficial use under its water
19 right 36-2080 even though it has diverted less than the authorized maximum of
20 1,100 cfs on its 62,604.3 acres. A&B uses an internal delivery standard of 0.75
21 inches per acre. But, a delivery rate of 5/8 (0.625) inch per acre has been deemed
22 a minimum full supply sufficient to raise typical crops for other nearby irrigation
23 entities (e.g., American Falls Reservoir District #2, the North Side Canal
24 Company, and the Twin Falls Canal Company). The basis for this conclusion in
25 discussed in Exhibit 401, Section 3.

1 2. The data supports the conclusion that A & B continues to provide
2 sufficient water to meet its irrigation needs on a system-wide basis. A & B
3 growers in Unit B, which is served by ground water, have not shifted to lower
4 water consumption crops, have not fallowed land and have expanded irrigated
5 acres. The basis for this conclusion is discussed in Exhibit 401, Section 3.3.

6 3. Although water level declines have occurred in A & B, they have
7 occurred as a result of (1) conversions from flood irrigation to sprinkler irrigation
8 methods throughout the ESPA, (2) drought conditions, and (3) ground-water
9 pumping by junior and senior water right holders including A & B. Declines have
10 been exacerbated in portions of the A & B area, such as in the southwestern
11 portion, as a result of local hydrogeologic conditions. The basis for these
12 conclusions are discussed in Exhibit 401, Sections 3 and 4.

13 4. A & B is authorized to use additional wells and/or to interconnect its wells
14 to provide water to its water users as it has done in some well systems.

15 5. There are several reasons to anticipate that ground-water level declines
16 will moderate or possibly increase in the future. I base this conclusion on several
17 factors: (1) effects of conversions of gravity to sprinkler irrigation will
18 equilibrate, (2) there has been a ground water moratorium on new ground water
19 development on the ESPA since 1992, (3) a likely eventual end to drought
20 conditions, (4) water management on the ESPA aimed at maintaining and
21 restoring discharge in the Thousand Springs Reach will contribute to stabilization
22 of water levels, and (5) additional ESPA water management including those

1 being developed in the Comprehensive Aquifer Management Program. (See,
2 Exhibit 401, Section 5).

3 6. From the mid to late 1950s it was anticipated that A & B would need to
4 deepen and replace wells and in fact, the vast majority of these occurred in the
5 early-1960s shortly after the original wells were drilled. Since 1994, A & B has
6 only had to deepen or replace approximately 1.8 wells per year. (See Exhibit 401,
7 Sections 2 and 5).

8 7. The application of the A&B Scenario, which was a simulation based upon
9 the application of the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer Model, is not appropriate for
10 the administration of A&B's delivery call as concluded by the Director's 2008
11 Order. (See, Exhibit 401, Section 6).